CASE NO. 07cv0484

RIGINAL

28

STIPULATION

WHEREAS:

2

6

11

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The above-captioned action, filed by Plaintiff Angelica Tennent against Defendants 3 | Fifth Third Bancorp ("Fifth Third") The TJX Companies, Inc. ("TJX"), and Does 1 through 50, inclusive, is one of several related actions arising out of allegations that intrusions(s) into TJX's computer system resulted in the theft of customers' information.

- On February 8, 2007, the plaintiffs in one of the related actions, Buckley v. TJX Cos., No. 07-CV-10209 (D. Mass. filed Feb. 2, 2007), filed a motion with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Lingarion ("JPML") seeking the transfer and centralization of the related actions. 9 Attached hereto as Exhibit A is what Fifth Third understands to be a true and correct copy of the motion for transfer and centralization pending before the JPML.
 - On March 16, 2007, Plaintiff filed this action in the United Stated District Court for the Southern District of California and on May 30, 2007, Plaintiff filed its Amended Complaint.
 - On April 4, 2007, TJX filed a notice with the JPML identifying this action as a potential "tag-along action" involving common questions of fact with the actions identified in the pending motion for transfer and centralization. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is what Fifth Third understands to be a true and correct copy of TJX's Second Notice of Potential Tag-Along Actions. To Fifth Third's knowledge, there are now thirteen (13) related actions pending in federal district courts in Alabama, California, Massachusetts, and Puerto Rico.
 - On or about April 29, 2007, this Court granted TJX an extension of time to respond on terms identical to those here stipulated as to Fifth Third.
 - Fifth Third supports transfer and centralization of the related actions. 6.
 - Because the related actions involve common questions of fact, Fifth Third and Plaintiff anticipate that transfer and centralization will be ordered by the JPML.
 - The JPML held a hearing on the motion for transfer and centralization on May 31, 8, 2007.
 - In light of the motion for transfer and centralization pending before the JPML, and the previous stipulation extending time for TJX to respond in this action, Fifth Third and Plaintiff

28

. 1	agree that extending the time for Fifth Third to respond is appropriate to promote the efficient
2	
3	NOW, THEREFORE, Fifth Third and Plaintiff stipulate that the time for Fifth Third to
4	answer, plead, or otherwise respond shall be extended to the latest of. (a) ten (10) days after the
5	IPML decides the pending motion for transfer and centralization, (b) if the motion is granted, ten
6	
7	TJX is served with Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
8	
9	DATED: June 12, 2007
10	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
11	
12	By: DARREL I. HIEBER One of the attorneys for Defendants
13	FIFTH THIRD BANCORP
14	Of Counsel:
15	W. Breck Weigel, Esq. Vorys Sater Seymour and Pease LLP
16	Vorys Sater Seymour and Pease LLP 221 East Fourth Street, Suite 2000 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
17	
18	DATED: June 11, 2007 HARRISON PATTERSON & O'CONNOR
19	JAMES R. PATTERSON
20	One of the attorneys for Plaintiff ANGELICA TENNENT
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
6	
7	
8	
-	STIPLIT ATION
16 -	

AND ORDER THEREON

The time for Fifth Third Bancorp to answer, plead, or otherwise respond shall be extended 3 to the latest of: (a) ten (10) days after the IPML decides the pending motion for transfer and 4 centralization, (b) if the motion is granted, ten (10) days after the transferee court enters a consolidated scheduling order, or (c) ten (10) days after Fifth Third is served with Plaintiff's 6 Amended Complaint.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

The Honorable William . Hayes

Judge of the District Court

[PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. 07cv0484

PROOF OF SERVICE I, the undersigned, declare that: I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the instant case. My business address is 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400, Los Angeles, California 90071. On June 12, 2007, I served the foregoing documents described as: STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT; AND [PROPOSED| ORDER on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST 8 (BY U.S. MAIL IN THE ORDINARY COURSE OF BUSINESS) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service and the fact that the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business; on this date, the above-referenced correspondence was placed for deposit at the Appeles California and placed for collection and mailing following ordinary. 9 10 11 Los Angeles, California and placed for collection and mailing following ordinary business practices. 12 (BY FEDERAL EXPRESS) I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for the daily collection and processing of correspondence for deliveries with the Federal Express delivery service and the fact that the correspondence would be deposited with Federal Express that same day in the ordinary course of business; on this date, the above-referenced document was placed for deposit at Los Angeles, California and placed for collection and delivery following ordinary business practices (as noted). 13 14 15 16 BY FACSIMILE I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of facsimile transmission; on this date the document was transmitted by facsimile transmission and that the transmission was reported as complete and without error and that the attached transmission report was properly issued by the transmitting facsimile machine (as 17 18 noted). 19 ☐ (BY PERSONAL SERVICE) By personally delivering copies to the person served. (STATE/FEDERAL) 20 I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand 21 to the offices of the addressee pursuant to CCP § 1011. (STATE/FEDERAL) 22 l declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the above is true and correct. 23 24 Executed on June 12, 2007 at Los Angeles, California. 25 26 27 28

SERVICE LIST Attorneys for Plaintiff JAMES R. PATTERSON HARRY W. HARRISON HARRISON PATTERSON & O'CONNOR LLP 402 West Broadway, Suite 1905 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 756-6990 Facsimile: (619) 756-6991 JAMES M. LINDSAY GENE J. STONEBARGER LINDSAY & STONEBARGER A Professional Corporation 620 Coolidge Drive, Suite 225 Folsom, CA 92630 Telephone: (916) 294-0002 Facsimile: (916) 294-0012 10 Attorneys for Defendant Fifth Third Bancorp W. BRECK WEIGEL VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE LLP 221 East Fourth Street Suite 2000, Atrium Two P.O. Box 0236 Cincinnati, OH 45201 Telephone: (513) 723-4000 Facsimile: (513) 852-8448 15 Attorneys for Defendants TJX Companies, Inc. and Bob's Stores Corp. 16 CARY B. LERMAN TERI-ANN E. NAGATA MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 17 MARK P. SZPAK ROPES & GRAY LLP One International Place Boston, MA 02110-2624 Telephone: (617) 951-7000 Facsimile: (617) 951-7050 23 24 25 26 27 28